Computers

in Art and Design

Edited by Isaac Victor Kerlow

SIGGRAPH '91 Art and Design Show

July-August 1991 Las Vegas, Nevada The quotes presented here are representative of the varied–sometimes contradictory–feelings that artists, designers, programmers and engineers have towards using computer graphics technology in art and design. This is the framework in which many of the works shown here were produced.

For the Record

Artists who can grasp the new technology may have a much more direct opportunity to redefine our idea of nature than they did when their media were limited to painting and sculpture. [...] The natural world was never before overrun with the kind of artificiality that now permeates it, but one's conception of it has always been a man-made construct.

Jeffrey Deitch and D. Friedman, ed., Artificial Nature, Deste Foundation for Contemporary Art, Athens, 1990.

Women use computers at work more than men (41% versus 30%).

46% of all American children are using computers at school or at home. In 1984, 30% of kids between 3 and 17 years old used computers.

Technological splendor did not always bring graphic progress.

Estelle Jussim, "Changing Technology Changes Design," *Graphic Design in America*, Harry Abrams, Inc., New York, 1989, p. 113.

I have a fine arts background and I enjoy putting my hand to a piece of paper. I don't believe the computer will ever replace an original rough sketch. I cannot see myself just sitting at a computer and punching numbers.

Luckily we have reached a point
where almost all media are computer
processed in some way or another–if
not by electronic pre-press system
then by a time base corrector.

Now everybody is a computer artist
whether **he or she wants**

to be one or not.

For us, the computer ${\bf IS}$ a design tool.

It is really an extension of how we see and think, and of the traditional tools, such as pen, pencil, brush, grid and T-square, which we use to express and communicate our ideas. I'm not interested in the digital imagery of computers that has come to be associated with 'computer graphics.' It is not a look, or a style, or a trend that we're involved with here, but an engaging

mental

as we solve communication problems.

One of the products of personalcomputer design is the birth of a dense, furiously active look, the antithesis of the cool simplicity that has been considered model design in recent years. And all this visual cacophony is now acceptable because it is not the product of primitive cut and paste but the output of a sophisticated laser printer.

I have my hands and my mind

don't leed any equipment

Distressed artist (identity witheld at artist's request), 1991.

In the new computer age the proliferation of typefaces and type manipulations represents a new level of visual pollution **threatening** our culture. Out of thousands of typefaces, all we need are a few basic ones, and

trash the rest.

No one understands the consequences of how computers are going to produce change. They are extremely clumsy instruments. As a tool-quite contrary to what people think of their flexibility and their aptitude-they are very poor substitutes for the archaic, old-time methodology. But they tend to dominate people's abilities and imaginations, so that in response to that particular tool, they do things they would not do normally for any other reason. And as a result of that, there really is going to be a different aesthetic in the Nineties because of the computer. What the aesthetic will be I have no idea.

Milton Glaser, in "Goodbye to the Eighties, Quotes by Designers," *Metropolis*, October 1989, p. 80.

am

The important point is to recognize **where** handwork ends and

Frederic W. Goudy, prolific American type designer (1865-1947).

machine work begins.

People who work here have to know

computers. Our working pace has

changed now, so I can't bring in a

designer who uses a drawing table—we

don't even have a drawing table.

Javier Romero, in "At Home With High Tech Designing," *Art Direction*, August 1990, p. 46.

ERROR: stackoverflow OFFENDING COMMAND:

Upset laser printer, 1991 (serial number witheld at the laser printer's request).



The level of work done today with microcomputers is quite

amazing

compare it to what could be done three or four years ago.

Optimistic Art and Design Show Chair, 1991.

new design principles and concepts we will be faced with a multimedia Tower of Babel

Without

I know very little about how this stuff

actually works. And one

probably doesn't need to

to understand our automobiles or our television sets. We get by all right, so long as there is someone readily available to put them right when

they go wrong. It may be partly this fear of having to **really** understand the technology that makes computers appear so hostile to the uninitiated.

John Waters, "A Computer for All Reasons," by Susan Braybrooke, *PRINT*.

Computers are like another country. People who design computers and write software are like foreigners. They live there, in the computer country, and speak the language fluently. The rest of us visit, incorporating words from their language into ours, the way we incorporate words and phrases from French. C'est la vie, we say. Load that onto the hard

drive, we say.

To produce books, ads or magazines with desktop technology often means that electronic files from designers, illustrators, photographers and writers are merged into one huge cauldron of digital data. There is ample opportunity for plagiarism-witting or unwittingand the near certainty of going undetected.

(Thenthereistheeleme ntofsurpriseacomputerbrings)Itopensupth eideaofchance.Youhit thewrongbuttonandallofasuddenyou've gotdotsalloveryourlogo.Accidentsareusu allythebestthingstohappentomywork.

> April Greiman in New American Design, by Hugh Aldersey Williams, Rizzoli International Publications, New York, 1988, pp 182-189.